SEPA Research and Development

Particulate Emission Measurements from Controlled Construction Activities

Prepared for

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

Prepared by

National Risk Management Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

FOREWORD

The U. S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for reducing risks from threats to human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods for the prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources, protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites and-groundwater; and prevention and control of indoor air pollution. The goal of this research effort is to catalyze development and implementation of innovative, cost-effective environmental technologies; develop scientific and engineering information needed by EPA to support regulatory and policy decisions; and provide technical support and information transfer to ensure effective implementation of environmental regulations and strategies.

This publication has been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic long-term research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

E. Timothy Oppelt, Director National Risk Management Research Laboratory

EPA REVIEW NOTICE

This report has been peer and administratively reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Particulate Emission Measurements from Controlled Construction Activities

Ву

Gregory E. Muleski and Chatten Cowherd, Jr.
Midwest Research Institute
425 Volker Boulevard
Kansas City, Missouri 64110-2299

EPA Contract No. 68-D-70-002

EPA Work Assignment Manager

Charles C. Masser
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
National Risk Management Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Prepared for: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development Washington, DC 20460

Abstract

This report summarizes the results of field testing of the effectiveness of control measures for sources of fugitive particulate emissions found at construction sites. Tests of the effectiveness of watering of temporary unpaved travel surfaces on PM-10 emissions were performed in Beloit, Kansas during September 1999. The tested operation was scraper transit. Tests of the effectiveness of paved and graveled access aprons on mud/dirt trackout from unpaved truck exit routes were performed in Grandview, Missouri during November 1999. In the latter tests, moisture content and soil type were varied to determine whether watering of exit routes, while reducing onsite emissions, might have an offsetting effect of increasing emissions attributable to mud/dirt trackout controls in place.

Contents

Abstract .	
Figures	
Tables	v
Acronyms	and Abbreviations
Conversion	ı Factors vii
Chapter 1	Introduction Background Historical Emission Factors Recent Field Studies Scope of the 1999 Field Study Organization of the Report
Chapter 2	Air Sampling Methodology8Test Sites and Overview of Tested Operations8Air Sampling Test Methods12Ancillary Measurements17Data Reduction18
Chapter 3	Test Site Results23Watering Control of Scraper Transit Emissions23Discussion of the Watering Test Results28Particle Size Data for Watered and Unwatered Travel Routes34Mud/Dirt Trackout Study Test Results40Discussion of the Mud/Dirt Trackout Results44

Chapte	Per 4 Quality Assurance/Quality Control Activities	
Chapte	er 5 Summary and Conclusions 53	
Refere	nces 55	
Append	dices	
Α	Site-Specific Test Plan, Revision 1	
В	Quality Assurance Project Plan, Revision 1	
С	North Central Kansas Technical College Sampling Data C-1	
D	Example Calculation — Run BY-201 D-1	
Е	Second-Tier Meteorological Observations E-1	
F	F Particle Sizing Data	
G	Deramus Field Station Sampling Data G-1	

Figures

2-1.	NCKTC overview	. 6
2-2.	Schematic illustration of test procedure for moving point source (NCKTC)	. 7
2 - 3.	Overview of DFS test site	. 8
2-4.	Trackout and sampling areas for Phase 1 (DFS)	10
2 - 5.	Trackout and sampling areas for Phase 2 (DFS)	11
2-6.	Trackout and sampling areas for Phase 3 (DFS)	12
2-7.	Trackout and sampling areas for Phase 4 (DFS)	13
2-8.	Sampler deployment at NCKTC	14
2 - 9.	Cyclone preseparator operated at 40 cfm	15
2-10.	Cyclone preseparator – cascade impactor operated at 20 cfm	16
3-1.	Decay of moisture content with time after watering (NCKTC)	29
3-2.	Decay of instantaneous control efficiency with time after watering (NCKTC) .	30
3-3.	Decay of average control efficiency with time after watering (NCKTC)	31
3-4.	Best fit lines for average control efficiency decay with time after watering (NCKTC)	32
3-5.	Exponential decay in surface moisture content with time after watering (NCKTC)	34
3-6.	Instantaneous PM-10 control efficiency versus surface moisture content (NCKTC)	35
3-7.	Comparison of instantaneous control efficiency with previously published function (NCKTC).	36
3-8.	Particle size distributions for 1998 uncontrolled scraper transit emissions (BV runs) from reference 3	37
3-9.	Comparison of particle size distributions for 1999 BY runs and 1998 BV runs	38
3-10.	Correlation between PM-2.5/PM-10 ratio and PM-10 emission factor	39
3-11.	Average control efficiency decay rates for PM-10 and PM-2.5 versus relative humidity	41
3-12.	Correlation between loading and moisture content for sandy soil in conjunction with gravel apron (DFS).	47

Tables

2-1.	Moisture Content Determination	17
2-2.	Silt Content Determination	18
3-1.	Test Site Parameters	24
3-2.	Isokinetic Correction Parameters (By Runs)	25
3-3.	Plume Sampling Data	26
3-4.	Emission Factors	28
3-5.	Decay Rates Fitted by Least-Squares Linear Regression	31
3-6.	Correlation Matrix	32
3-7.	PM-2.5 Control Efficiency Values	40
3-8.	Trackout Study Test Parameters	42
3-9.	Surface Loading Results (DFS)	43
3-10.	Summary Statistics for Loading Values	46
3-11.	Control Efficiency Values	46
4-1.	Data Quality Objectives	50
4-2.	Critical and Non-Critical Measurements for Emission Factors	52

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACE Average control efficiency acfm Actual cubic feet per minute

DFS Deramus Field Station (located in Grandview, Missouri)

DQO Data quality objective

EPA Environmental Protection Agency ICE Instantaneous control efficiency

IFR Isokinetic flow ratio

MRI Midwest Research Institute

NCKTC North Central Kansas Technical College (located in Beloit, Kansas)

PM Particulate matter

PM-X Particulate matter less than X μm in aerodynamic diameter

QA Quality assurance RH Relative humidity

sL Silt loading

vmt Vehicle miles traveled

Conversion Factors

Certain nonmetric units are used in this report for the reader's convenience. Readers who are more familiar with the metric system may use the following to convert to that system.

Nonmetric	Multiplied by	Yields metric
ft	0.3048	m
cfm	1.70	m³/hr
yd ³	0.7646	m³
ton	0.907	metric ton
lb	0.4536	kg